

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 15 2007

REMARKS

The Office Action of 12/15/2006 has been carefully considered. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and the present remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5, 20-23 and 26-28 were rejected as being anticipated by Heinonen. Claims 6, 7, 10 and 11 were rejected as being unpatentable over Heinonen in view of Garlepp. Claims 8, 9, 12, 16-19, 24 and 25 were rejected as being unpatentable over Heinonen in view of Keskitalo. Claims 13-15 were rejected as being unpatentable over Heinonen in view of Cencich. Claims 24-28 have been canceled. Claims 1 and 20 have been amended to more clearly define over the cited reference. Claims 29 and 30 have been newly added. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In particular claims 1 and 20 have been amended to recite a transceiver apparatus and a transceiver method in which multiple antennas are present. A transmission multiplexer controls which antenna is coupled to a transmission path; a reception multiplexer controls which antenna is coupled to a reception path. A multi-switch is controlled to determine at a particular time whether an antenna is operated as a transmit antenna or a receive antenna.

No such arrangement of features is taught or suggested in Heinonen, which is a single-antenna system.


Withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1-23, 29 and 30 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: September 6, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: 05/15/2007